

Debbie Leonard (#8260)
Leonard Law, PC
955 South Virginia Street, Suite 220
Reno, Nevada 89502
(775) 964-4656
debbie@leonardlawpc.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BAKER RANCHES, INC., a Nevada corporation, DAVID JOHN ELDRIDGE AND RUTH ELDRIDGE, as Co-Trustees of the DAVID JOHN ELDRIDGE AND RUTH ELDRIDGE FAMILY LIVING TRUST, dated January 31, 2007; ZANE JORDAN; and JUDEE SCHALEY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEB HAALAND, in her official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior, the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, SHAWN BENGE, in his official capacity as Acting Director of the National Park Service, the NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, and JAMES WOOLSEY, in his official capacity as Superintendent of the Great Basin National Park,

Defendants.

3:21-CV-00150-GMN-WGC

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE
REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF THEIR
MOTION TO REMAND
AND MOTION FOR ORDER
TO SHOW CAUSE**

(First Request)

Through their respective undersigned counsel, Plaintiffs BAKER RANCHES, INC. (“Baker Ranches”), DAVID JOHN ELDRIDGE AND RUTH ELDRIDGE, as Co-Trustees of the DAVID JOHN ELDRIDGE AND RUTH ELDRIDGE FAMILY LIVING TRUST, dated January 31, 2007; ZANE JORDAN; and JUDEE SCHALEY (collectively, “Plaintiffs”), and Defendants DEB HAALAND, in her official capacity as Secretary of the United States Department of the Interior, the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

1 SHAWN BENGE, in his official capacity as Acting Director of the National Park Service, the
2 NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, and JAMES WOOLSEY, in his official capacity as
3 Superintendent of the Great Basin National Park (collectively, “Defendants”), stipulate and
4 agree as follows:

5 On April 6, 2021, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand and a Motion for Order to Show
6 Cause why Defendants Should not be Held in Contempt for Violating Court Decree (ECF
7 Nos. 8 and 9, respectively).

8 On April 29, 2021, Defendants filed their Responses to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Remand
9 and Motion for Order to Show Cause why Defendants Should not be Held in Contempt for
10 Violating Court Decree (ECF Nos. 25 and 26, respectively).

11 Plaintiffs’ Replies in support of their Motions are due on May 6, 2021.

12 The Parties stipulate and agree that the due date for Plaintiffs to file Replies to
13 Defendants’ Responses (ECF Nos. 25 and 26) shall be extended to and including May 13,
14 2021.

15 This is the first stipulation for extension of time for the filing of these Replies. This
16 extension of time is necessary due to Plaintiffs’ counsel facing a number of conflicting
17 deadlines and obligations, as well as the extensive arguments made in ECF Nos. 25 and 26.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 The Parties represent that this stipulation is made in good faith and not for the purpose
2 of delay.

3
4 DATED: May 3, 2021

5 LEONARD LAW, PC

6
7 /s/ Debbie Leonard

8 DEBBIE LEONARD

9 State Bar No. 8260

10 955 South Virginia Street, Suite 220

11 Reno, Nevada 89502

(775) 964-4656

debbie@leonardlawpc.com

12 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*

DATED: May 3, 2021

CHRISTOPHER CHIOU

ACTING UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

7 /s/ Greg Addington

8 GREG ADDINGTON

9 State Bar No. 6875

400 South Virginia Street, Suite 900

Reno, NV 89501


(775) 784-5438

greg.addington@usdoj.gov

Attorney for Defendants

13
14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated this 5 day of May, 2021.

17
18 
19
20 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
22
23
24
25
26
27
28